

量子マスター方程式の導出

中嶋 慧

2020 年 7 月 14 日

目次

1	射影演算子法による量子マスター方程式の導出	2
1.1	von Neumann 方程式	2
1.2	相互作用描像	2
1.3	射影演算子法：一般論	3
1.3.1	近似なし	3
1.3.2	摂動論	5
1.4	量子マスター方程式	7
1.4.1	相互作用描像	7
1.4.2	シュレーディンガー描像	8
1.4.3	Born-Markov 近似	9
1.5	TCL 型	10
1.5.1	近似なし	10
1.5.2	Born 近似	11
1.5.3	TCL 型の量子マスター方程式	11
2	量子マスター方程式の素朴な導出：Coarse-graining approximation	13
3	回転波近似 (RWA)	20
4	Born-Markov 近似	21
5	具体的な系	22
5.1	CGA	22
5.2	RWA	25
5.3	Born-Markov 近似	25
6	特異摂動と量子マスター方程式	26

1 射影演算子法による量子マスター方程式の導出

1.1 von Neumann 方程式

注目系のハミルトニアンを H_S , 熱浴のハミルトニアン H_B , 相互作用を H_1 とし、

$$H(t) = H_0 + H_1(t), \quad H_0 = H_S + H_B \quad (1.1)$$

とする。全系の密度演算子 $\rho(t)$ を、計数場 χ を使って一般化したもの $\rho(\chi, t)$ は、変形された von Neumann 方程式

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(\chi, t) = -i[H_\chi(t)\rho(\chi, t) - \rho(\chi, t)H_{-\chi}(t)], \quad (1.2)$$

$$H_{a,\chi}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{i\chi A/2} H_a(t) e^{-i\chi A/2} \quad (a = 0, 1, S, B, \text{無印}) \quad (1.3)$$

を満たし、初期条件は、

$$\rho(\chi, 0) = \sum_n P_n \rho(0) P_n \quad (1.4)$$

である。 A は熱浴の物理量であり、 P_n は A の固有値 a_n の空間への射影演算子である：

$$AP_n = a_n P_n, \quad P_n^2 = P_n, \quad P_n^\dagger = P_n. \quad (1.5)$$

$\text{Tr}\rho(\chi, \tau)$ は、時刻 $t = \tau$ と $t = 0$ における A の測定値の差についての母関数である。もしも、 $\rho(\chi, 0) = \rho(0)$ なら (これは多くの場合満たされる)、 $\chi = 0$ で $\rho(\chi, t)$ は全系の密度演算子となる：

$$\rho(0, t) = \rho(t). \quad (1.6)$$

1.2 相互作用描像

超演算子

$$\hat{H}_a \bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_{a,\chi}(t) \bullet - \bullet H_{a,-\chi}(t) \quad (a = 0, 1, S, B, \text{無印}) \quad (1.7)$$

を導入する ($\hat{H}_S \bullet = [H_S, \bullet]$ である)。 (1.2) の解は、

$$\rho(\chi, t) = \hat{V}(t)\rho(\chi, 0), \quad (1.8)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{V}(t) = -i\hat{H}(t)\hat{V}(t), \quad \hat{V}(0) = 1 \quad (1.9)$$

である。今、 $\hat{U}(t)$ を、

$$\hat{V}(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}_0 t} \hat{U}(t) \quad (1.10)$$

で定義する。これと (1.8) より、

$$\rho(\chi, t) = e^{-i\hat{H}_0 t} \rho^I(\chi, t), \quad (1.11)$$

$$\rho^I(\chi, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{U}(t)\rho(\chi, 0) \quad (1.12)$$

となる。(1.10)を微分して、

$$\begin{aligned} -i\hat{H}e^{-i\hat{H}t}\hat{U}(t) &= -i\hat{H}_0e^{-i\hat{H}t}\hat{U}(t) + e^{-i\hat{H}t}\frac{d\hat{U}(t)}{dt}, \\ -i\hat{H}_1e^{-i\hat{H}t}\hat{U}(t) &= e^{-i\hat{H}t}\frac{d\hat{U}(t)}{dt}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{U}(t)}{dt} = -i\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{U}(t) \quad (1.14)$$

を得る。初期条件は、 $\hat{U}(0) = 1$ である。また、ここで、

$$\hat{H}_1^I(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{i\hat{H}t}\hat{H}_1e^{-i\hat{H}t} \quad (1.15)$$

である。今、

$$\hat{U}(t, s) = \hat{U}(t)\hat{U}^{-1}(s) \quad (1.16)$$

とすると、これは、

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{U}(t, s) = -i\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{U}(t, s) \quad (1.17)$$

を満たす。また、

$$\hat{U}(t, s) = \hat{U}(t, t')\hat{U}(t', s), \quad (1.18)$$

$$\hat{U}(t, t) = 1 \quad (1.19)$$

を満たす。これらより、

$$\hat{U}(s, t) = \hat{U}^{-1}(t, s) \quad (1.20)$$

である。

1.3 射影演算子法：一般論

1.3.1 近似なし

射影演算子 \mathcal{P} を

$$\mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{P} \quad (1.21)$$

を満たす任意の演算子とし、

$$\mathcal{Q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 - \mathcal{P} \quad (1.22)$$

とすると、

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^2 &= (1 - \mathcal{P})^2 = 1 - 2\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}^2 = 1 - \mathcal{P} \\ &= \mathcal{Q}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}^2 = 0, \quad (1.24)$$

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}^2 = 0 \quad (1.25)$$

が得られる。今、

$$\hat{x}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{Q}\hat{U}(t), \quad \hat{y}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{P}\hat{U}(t) \quad (1.26)$$

とする。(1.14) は、

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\hat{U}(t) &= -i\hat{H}_1^I(t)[\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{P}]\hat{U}(t) \\ &= -i\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{x}(t) - i\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{y}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.27)$$

または、(1.23),(1.21) を用いて、

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\hat{U}(t) &= -i\hat{H}_1^I(t)[\mathcal{Q}^2 + \mathcal{P}^2]\hat{U}(t) \\ &= -i\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{Q}\hat{x}(t) - i\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P}\hat{y}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.28)$$

ともかける。これに左から \mathcal{Q} を作用させて、

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{x}(t) = -i\hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(t)\hat{x}(t) - i\hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(t)\hat{y}(t) \quad (1.29)$$

を得る。ここで、

$$\hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{Q}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{Q}, \quad \hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{Q}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P} \quad (1.30)$$

である。(1.29) より、 $\hat{W}(t)$ を任意の演算子として、

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\hat{W}(t)\hat{x}(t)] &= -i\hat{W}(t)[\hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(t)\hat{x}(t) + \hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(t)\hat{y}(t)] + \frac{d\hat{W}(t)}{dt}\hat{x}(t) \\ &= \hat{W}(t)[-i\hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(t) + \hat{W}^{-1}(t)\frac{d\hat{W}(t)}{dt}]\hat{x}(t) - i\hat{W}(t)\hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(t)\hat{y}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.31)$$

である。今、 $\hat{W}_{QQ}(t)$ を

$$\begin{aligned} -i\hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(t) + \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t)\frac{d\hat{W}_{QQ}(t)}{dt} &= 0, \\ \frac{d\hat{W}_{QQ}(t)}{dt} &= i\hat{W}_{QQ}(t)\hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.32)$$

を満たす、初期条件

$$\hat{W}_{QQ}(0) = 1 \quad (1.33)$$

の解とする。このとき、(1.31) より、

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\hat{W}_{QQ}(t)\hat{x}(t)] = -\hat{W}_{QQ}(t)i\hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(t)\hat{y}(t) \quad (1.34)$$

を得る。これを解くと、

$$\hat{W}_{QQ}(t)\hat{x}(t) - \hat{W}_{QQ}(0)\hat{x}(0) = -i \int_0^t ds \hat{W}_{QQ}(s)\hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(s)\hat{y}(s)$$

であり、(1.33) と (1.26) より $\hat{W}_{QQ}(0)\hat{x}(0) = \mathcal{Q}$ である。上式を $\hat{x}(t)$ について解いて、

$$\hat{x}(t) = \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t)\mathcal{Q} - i \int_0^t ds \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t)\hat{W}_{QQ}(s)\hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(s)\hat{y}(s) \quad (1.35)$$

を得る。今、

$$\hat{U}_{QQ}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t), \quad (1.36)$$

$$\hat{U}_{QQ}(s, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{U}_{QQ}(s)\hat{U}_{QQ}^{-1}(t) \quad (1.37)$$

とする。このとき、

$$\hat{U}_{QQ}(t, t) = 1, \quad (1.38)$$

$$\hat{U}_{QQ}(t, u)\hat{U}_{QQ}(u, s) = \hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s), \quad (1.39)$$

$$\hat{U}_{QQ}(s, t) = \hat{U}_{QQ}^{-1}(t, s) \quad (1.40)$$

となる。これより、

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t)\hat{W}_{QQ}(s) &= \hat{U}_{QQ}(t, 0)\hat{U}_{QQ}(0, s) \\ &= \hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s) \end{aligned} \quad (1.41)$$

である。

(1.41),(1.36) および $\hat{y}(t)$ の定義 (1.26) を使うと、(1.35) は

$$\hat{x}(t) = \hat{U}_{QQ}(t)\mathcal{Q} - i \int_0^t ds \hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s)\hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(s)\hat{y}(s) \quad (1.42)$$

となる。(1.42),(1.26) の $\hat{y}(t)$ の定義を、(1.27) の右辺に代入して、

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\hat{U}(t) &= -i\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{U}_{QQ}(t)\mathcal{Q} - \int_0^t ds \hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s)\hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(s)\mathcal{P}\hat{U}(s) \\ &\quad -i\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P}\hat{U}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.43)$$

を得る。

両辺を $\rho(\chi, 0)$ に作用させると、

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\rho^I(\chi, t) &= -i\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{U}_{QQ}(t)\mathcal{Q}\rho(\chi, 0) - \int_0^t ds \hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s)\hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(s)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, s) \\ &\quad -i\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.44)$$

となる。これに \mathcal{P} を作用させて、

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, t) &= -i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{U}_{QQ}(t)\mathcal{Q}\rho(\chi, 0) - \int_0^t ds \mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s)\hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(s)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, s) \\ &\quad -i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.45)$$

を得る。ここまでは恒等式で、厳密に正しい。

1.3.2 摂動論

$\hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s)$ を $\hat{H}_1^I(t)$ について展開する。まず、 $\hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s)$ の従う微分方程式を求める。(1.37),(1.36) より、

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s) &= \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t)\hat{W}_{QQ}(s), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s) &= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t) \right] \hat{W}_{QQ}(s) \end{aligned} \quad (1.46)$$

である。 $\hat{W}_{QQ}(t)\hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t) = 1$ を微分して、

$$0 = \left[\frac{d}{dt} \hat{W}_{QQ}(t) \right] \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t) + \hat{W}_{QQ}(t) \frac{d}{dt} \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t), \quad (1.47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t) &= -\hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t) \left[\frac{d}{dt} \hat{W}_{QQ}(t) \right] \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t) \\ &= -i\hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t) i\hat{W}_{QQ}(t) \hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(t) \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t) \\ &= -i\hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(t) \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.48)$$

を得る。これを (1.46) に代入して、

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s) &= -i\hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(t) \hat{W}_{QQ}^{-1}(t) \hat{W}_{QQ}(s) \\ &= -i\hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(t) \hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s) \end{aligned} \quad (1.49)$$

を得る。これと初期条件 $\hat{U}_{QQ}(s, s) = 1$ より

$$\hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s) = 1 - i \int_s^t du \hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(u) \hat{U}_{QQ}(u, s) \quad (1.50)$$

この右辺自身を右辺の $\hat{U}_{QQ}(u, s)$ に代入して、

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s) &= 1 - i \int_s^t du \hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(u) - \int_s^t du_1 \int_s^{u_1} du_2 \hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(u_1) \hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(u_2) \hat{U}_{QQ}(u_2, s) \\ &= 1 - i \int_s^t du \hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(u) - \int_s^t du_1 \int_s^{u_1} du_2 \hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(u_1) \hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(u_2) + \mathcal{O}(\hat{H}_1^I)^3 \end{aligned} \quad (1.51)$$

を得る。 $\mathcal{O}(\hat{H}_1^I)^3$ は $\hat{H}_1^I(t)$ について 3 次以上の項である。(1.45) 右辺第 1 項は、

$$\begin{aligned} &-i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{U}_{QQ}(t)\mathcal{Q}\rho(\chi, 0) \\ &= -i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{Q}\rho(\chi, 0) - \int_0^t ds \mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(s)\mathcal{Q}\rho(\chi, 0) + \mathcal{O}(\hat{H}_1^I)^3 \end{aligned} \quad (1.52)$$

右辺第 2 項は、

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^t ds \mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s)\hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(s)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, s) \\ &= - \int_0^t ds \mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(s)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, s) + \mathcal{O}(\hat{H}_1^I)^3 \\ &= - \int_0^t ds \mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)(1 - \mathcal{P})\hat{H}_1^I(s)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, s) + \mathcal{O}(\hat{H}_1^I)^3 \\ &= - \int_0^t ds [\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{H}_1^I(s)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, s) - \mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(s)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, s)] + \mathcal{O}(\hat{H}_1^I)^3 \end{aligned} \quad (1.53)$$

となる。以上より、(1.45) は、

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, t) &= -i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{Q}\rho(\chi, 0) - \int_0^t ds \mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{H}_{1,QQ}^I(s)\mathcal{Q}\rho(\chi, 0) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t ds [\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{H}_1^I(s)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, s) - \mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(s)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, s)] \\ &\quad - i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, t) + \mathcal{O}(\hat{H}_1^I)^3 \end{aligned} \quad (1.54)$$

となる。

1.4 量子マスター方程式

1.4.1 相互作用描像

今、 \mathcal{P} として、

$$\mathcal{P}\bullet = \rho_B \otimes \text{Tr}_B(\bullet) \quad (1.55)$$

を選ぶ。また、

$$\rho(0) = \rho_B \otimes \rho_S(0) \quad (1.56)$$

を仮定する。このとき、(1.4)より、

$$\rho(\chi, 0) = \rho_B \otimes \rho_S(0) \quad (1.57)$$

となる。このとき、

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}\rho(\chi, 0) &= \rho(\chi, 0) - \rho_B \otimes \text{Tr}_B(\rho_B)\rho_S(0) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.58)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, s) &= \rho_B \otimes \text{Tr}_B(\rho^I(\chi, s)) \\ &= \rho_B \otimes \rho_S^I(\chi, s) \end{aligned} \quad (1.59)$$

となる。ここで、

$$\rho_S^I(\chi, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}_B(\rho^I(\chi, t)) \quad (1.60)$$

である。(1.58)より、(1.54)の第1,2項は0になる。

ここで、

$$[A, H_B] = 0 \quad (1.61)$$

と

$$H_1 = R_\mu a_\mu \quad (1.62)$$

を仮定する。 μ については和を取るものとする。 a_μ は注目系の演算子、 R_μ は熱浴系の演算子である。このとき、

$$\hat{H}_B\bullet = [H_B, \bullet], \quad (1.63)$$

$$\hat{H}_1\bullet = [H_1, \bullet]_X \equiv H_{1,\chi}\bullet - \bullet H_{1,-\chi}, \quad (1.64)$$

$$H_{1,\chi} = R_{\mu,\chi}a_\mu \quad (1.65)$$

となる。(1.63)より、演算子 X に対して

$$e^{-i\hat{H}_0 t} X = e^{-iH_0 t} X e^{iH_0 t} \equiv X^I(-t) \quad (1.66)$$

であり、従って

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_1^I(t)X &= e^{i\hat{H}_0 t} \hat{H}_1 e^{-i\hat{H}_0 t} X \\ &= e^{i\hat{H}_0 t} \hat{H}_1 (e^{-iH_0 t} X e^{iH_0 t}) \\ &= e^{i\hat{H}_0 t} [H_1, e^{-iH_0 t} X e^{iH_0 t}]_X \\ &= [H_1^I(t), X]_X \end{aligned} \quad (1.67)$$

を得る。ここで、

$$[X, Y]_\chi = X_\chi Y - Y X_{-\chi}, \quad X_\chi \equiv e^{i\chi A/2} X e^{-i\chi A/2}, \quad (1.68)$$

$$H_1^I(t) = R_\mu^I(t) a_\mu^I(t) \quad (1.69)$$

である。これらと (1.59) より、

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} \hat{H}_1^I(s) \mathcal{P} \rho^I(\chi, s) &= \mathcal{P} [H_1^I(s), \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, s)]_\chi \\ &= \rho_B \text{Tr}_B [H_1^I(s), \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, s)]_\chi \\ &= \rho_B \text{Tr}_B (H_{1,\chi}^I(s) \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, s) - \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, s) H_{1,-\chi}^I(s)) \\ &= \rho_B \left[\text{Tr}_B \{ R_{\mu,\chi}^I(s) \rho_B \} a_\mu^I(s) \rho_S^I(\chi, s) - \text{Tr}_B \{ \rho_B R_{\mu,-\chi}^I(s) \} \rho_S^I(\chi, s) a_\mu^I(s) \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.70)$$

最後の等号で、

$$\langle R_{\mu,\chi}^I(t) \rangle_B = 0, \quad \langle \cdots \rangle_B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}_B [\rho_B \cdots] \quad (1.71)$$

を仮定した。これは多くの場合に満たされる。

また、

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} \hat{H}_1^I(t) \hat{H}_1^I(s) \mathcal{P} \rho_S^I(\chi, s) &= \mathcal{P} \hat{H}_1^I(t) [H_1^I(s), \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, s)]_\chi \\ &= \mathcal{P} [H_1^I(t), [H_1^I(s), \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, s)]_\chi]_\chi \\ &= \rho_B \text{Tr}_B [H_1^I(t), [H_1^I(s), \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, s)]_\chi]_\chi \end{aligned} \quad (1.72)$$

となる。以上より、(1.54) は、

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_B \frac{d}{dt} \rho_S^I(\chi, t) &= -\rho_B \int_0^t ds \text{Tr}_B [H_1^I(t), [H_1^I(s), \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, s)]_\chi]_\chi, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \rho_S^I(\chi, t) &= -\int_0^t ds \text{Tr}_B [H_1^I(t), [H_1^I(s), \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, s)]_\chi]_\chi \end{aligned} \quad (1.73)$$

となる。これが Born 方程式である。

1.4.2 シュレーディンガー描像

今、

$$\rho_S(\chi, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}_B [\rho(\chi, t)] \quad (1.74)$$

とする。(1.8) より、

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_S(\chi, t) &= \text{Tr}_B [e^{-i\hat{H}_0 t} \rho^I(\chi, t)] \\ &= \text{Tr}_B [e^{-iH_0 t} \rho^I(\chi, t) e^{iH_0 t}] \\ &= \text{Tr}_B [e^{-iH_B t} e^{-iH_S t} \rho^I(\chi, t) e^{iH_S t} e^{iH_B t}] \end{aligned} \quad (1.75)$$

である。 $|n\rangle$ を熱浴系の完全系とすると、

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}_B[e^{-iH_B t} e^{-iH_S t} \rho^I(\chi, t) e^{iH_S t} e^{iH_B t}] &= \sum_n \langle n | e^{-iH_B t} e^{-iH_S t} \rho^I(\chi, t) e^{iH_S t} e^{iH_B t} | n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{n,m} \langle n | e^{-iH_B t} e^{-iH_S t} \rho^I(\chi, t) e^{iH_S t} | m \rangle \langle m | e^{iH_B t} | n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{n,m} \langle m | e^{iH_B t} | n \rangle \langle n | e^{-iH_B t} e^{-iH_S t} \rho^I(\chi, t) e^{iH_S t} | m \rangle \\
&= \sum_m \langle m | e^{iH_B t} e^{-iH_B t} e^{-iH_S t} \rho^I(\chi, t) e^{iH_S t} | m \rangle \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}_B[e^{-iH_S t} \rho^I(\chi, t) e^{iH_S t}] \\
&= e^{-iH_S t} \mathrm{Tr}_B[\rho^I(\chi, t)] e^{iH_S t} \\
&= e^{-iH_S t} \rho_S^I(\chi, t) e^{iH_S t}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.76}$$

となるから、

$$\rho_S(\chi, t) = e^{-iH_S t} \rho_S^I(\chi, t) e^{iH_S t} \tag{1.77}$$

を得る。これと (1.73) から、

$$\begin{aligned}
i \frac{d}{dt} \rho_S(\chi, t) &= [H_S, \rho_S(\chi, t)] + i e^{-iH_S t} \frac{d\rho_S^I(\chi, t)}{dt} e^{iH_S t} \\
&= [H_S, \rho_S(\chi, t)] - i \int_0^t ds e^{-iH_S t} \mathrm{Tr}_B[H_1^I(t), [H_1^I(s), \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, s)]]_{\chi} e^{iH_S t} \\
&= [H_S, \rho_S(\chi, t)] - i \int_0^t ds e^{-iH_S t} \mathrm{Tr}_B[H_1^I(t), [H_1^I(s), \rho_B e^{iH_S s} \rho_S(\chi, s) e^{-iH_S s}]]_{\chi} e^{iH_S t}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.78}$$

となる。

1.4.3 Born-Markov 近似

(1.73) で、 $s = t - u$ として、

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho_S^I(\chi, t) = - \int_0^t du \mathrm{Tr}_B[H_1^I(t), [H_1^I(t-u), \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, t-u)]]_{\chi} \tag{1.79}$$

を得る。 $\rho_S^I(\chi, t-u)$ を $\rho_S^I(\chi, t)$ で近似して、

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho_S^I(\chi, t) = - \int_0^t du \mathrm{Tr}_B[H_1^I(t), [H_1^I(t-u), \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, t)]]_{\chi} \tag{1.80}$$

を得る。これを Redfield 方程式という。更に、 $\int_0^t du$ を $\int_0^\infty du$ で近似した、

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho_S^I(\chi, t) = - \int_0^\infty du \mathrm{Tr}_B[H_1^I(t), [H_1^I(t-u), \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, t)]]_{\chi} \tag{1.81}$$

が Born-Markov 近似である。このとき、(1.73) の対応物は、

$$\begin{aligned}
i \frac{d}{dt} \rho_S(\chi, t) &= [H_S, \rho_S(\chi, t)] + i e^{-iH_S t} \frac{d\rho_S^I(\chi, t)}{dt} e^{iH_S t} \\
&= [H_S, \rho_S(\chi, t)] - i \int_0^\infty du e^{-iH_S t} \mathrm{Tr}_B[H_1^I(t), [H_1^I(t-u), \rho_B \rho_S^I(\chi, t)]]_{\chi} e^{iH_S t} \\
&= [H_S, \rho_S(\chi, t)] - i \int_0^\infty du e^{-iH_S t} \mathrm{Tr}_B[H_1^I(t), [H_1^I(t-u), \rho_B e^{iH_S t} \rho_S(\chi, t) e^{-iH_S t}]]_{\chi} e^{iH_S t}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.82}$$

となる。

1.5 TCL 型

1.5.1 近似なし

(1.43) は TC(time convolution) 型と言われる。TCL(time convolutionless) 型の方程式は、以下ように得られる。

(1.28) は、

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{U}(t) = -i\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{Q}\hat{x}(t) - i\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P}\hat{y}(t) \quad (1.83)$$

であった。これに左から \mathcal{Q} を作用させて、(1.29), すなわち、

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{x}(t) = -i\mathcal{Q}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{Q}\hat{x}(t) - i\mathcal{Q}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P}\hat{y}(t) \quad (1.84)$$

を得る。(1.83) に左から \mathcal{P} を作用させて、

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{y}(t) = -i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{Q}\hat{x}(t) - i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P}\hat{y}(t) \quad (1.85)$$

を得る。(1.42) は、

$$\hat{x}(t) = \hat{U}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}}(t)\mathcal{Q} - i\int_0^t ds \hat{U}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}}(t,s)\hat{H}_{1,\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}}^I(s)\hat{y}(s) \quad (1.86)$$

であった。上式の第 2 項で、

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}(s) &= \mathcal{P}\hat{U}(s) \\ &= \mathcal{P}\hat{U}^{-1}(t,s)\hat{U}(t) \\ &= \mathcal{P}\hat{U}^{-1}(t,s)[\hat{x}(t) + \hat{y}(t)] \end{aligned} \quad (1.87)$$

なので、

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}(t) &= \hat{U}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}}(t)\mathcal{Q} - i\int_0^t ds \hat{U}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}}(t,s)\hat{H}_{1,\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}}^I(s)\mathcal{P}\hat{U}^{-1}(t,s)[\hat{x}(t) + \hat{y}(t)] \\ &= \hat{U}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}}(t)\mathcal{Q} + \hat{S}(t)\hat{x}(t) + \hat{S}(t)\hat{y}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (1.88)$$

$$\hat{S}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -i\int_0^t ds \hat{U}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}}(t,s)\hat{H}_{1,\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}}^I(s)\mathcal{P}\hat{U}^{-1}(t,s) \quad (1.89)$$

となる。(1.88) より、

$$\begin{aligned} [1 - \hat{S}(t)]\hat{x}(t) &= \hat{U}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}}(t)\mathcal{Q} + \hat{S}(t)\hat{y}(t), \\ \hat{x}(t) &= [1 - \hat{S}(t)]^{-1}\hat{U}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}}(t)\mathcal{Q} + [1 - \hat{S}(t)]^{-1}\hat{S}(t)\hat{y}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.90)$$

を得る。これを (1.85) に代入して、

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\hat{y}(t) &= -i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{Q}[1 - \hat{S}(t)]^{-1}\hat{U}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}}(t)\mathcal{Q} - i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{Q}[1 - \hat{S}(t)]^{-1}\hat{S}(t)\hat{y}(t) - i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P}\hat{y}(t) \\ &= \hat{I}(t) + \hat{J}(t)\hat{y}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.91)$$

を得る。ここで、

$$\hat{I}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{Q}[1 - \hat{S}(t)]^{-1}\hat{U}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}}(t)\mathcal{Q}, \quad (1.92)$$

$$\hat{J}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P} - i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{Q}[1 - \hat{S}(t)]^{-1}\hat{S}(t) \quad (1.93)$$

である。(1.91) が TCL 型の方程式である。

1.5.2 Born 近似

さて、

$$[1 - \hat{S}(t)]^{-1} = 1 + \hat{S}(t) + [\hat{S}(t)]^2 + \dots \quad (1.94)$$

であり、 $\hat{S}(t)$ において、

$$\hat{U}^{-1}(t, s) = 1 + i \int_s^t du \hat{H}_1^I(u) + \dots \quad (1.95)$$

である。よって、

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}(t) &= -i \int_0^t ds \hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s) \hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(s) \mathcal{P} + \int_0^t ds \hat{U}_{QQ}(t, s) \hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(s) \mathcal{P} \int_s^t du \hat{H}_1^I(u) + \dots \\ &= -i \int_0^t ds \hat{H}_{1,QP}^I(s) \mathcal{P} + \int_0^t ds \int_s^t du \left[-\mathcal{Q} \hat{H}_1^I(u) \mathcal{Q} \hat{H}_1^I(s) \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q} \hat{H}_1^I(s) \mathcal{P} \hat{H}_1^I(u) \right] + \dots \\ &= \hat{S}^{(1)}(t) + \hat{S}^{(2)}(t) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (1.96)$$

となる。ここで、

$$\hat{S}^{(1)}(t) = -i \int_0^t ds \mathcal{Q} \hat{H}_1^I(s) \mathcal{P}, \quad (1.97)$$

$$\hat{S}^{(2)}(t) = \int_0^t ds \int_s^t du \left[-\mathcal{Q} \hat{H}_1^I(u) \mathcal{Q} \hat{H}_1^I(s) \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q} \hat{H}_1^I(s) \mathcal{P} \hat{H}_1^I(u) \right] \quad (1.98)$$

である。よって、

$$\begin{aligned} [1 - \hat{S}(t)]^{-1} &= 1 + \hat{S}^{(1)}(t) + [\hat{S}^{(1)}(t)]^2 + \hat{S}^{(2)}(t) + \dots \\ &= 1 + \hat{S}^{(1)}(t) + \hat{S}^{(2)}(t) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (1.99)$$

となる。ここで、 $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q} = 0$ より、 $[\hat{S}^{(1)}(t)]^2 = 0$ を用いた。また、

$$[1 - \hat{S}(t)]^{-1} \hat{S}(t) = \hat{S}^{(1)}(t) + \hat{S}^{(2)}(t) + \dots \quad (1.100)$$

である。

よって、 H_1^I の 2 次まで、

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J}(t) &\approx -i \mathcal{P} \hat{H}_1^I(t) \mathcal{P} - i \mathcal{P} \hat{H}_1^I(t) \mathcal{Q} \hat{S}^{(1)}(t) \\ &= -i \mathcal{P} \hat{H}_1^I(t) \mathcal{P} - i \mathcal{P} \hat{H}_1^I(t) \int_0^t ds \mathcal{Q} \hat{H}_1^I(s) \mathcal{P} \end{aligned} \quad (1.101)$$

である。

1.5.3 TCL 型の量子マスター方程式

(1.91) を $\rho(\chi, 0)$ に作用させると、

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{P} \rho^I(\chi, t) = \hat{J}(t) \mathcal{P} \rho^I(\chi, t) + \hat{I}(t) \rho(\chi, 0) \quad (1.102)$$

である。 $\mathcal{Q} \rho(\chi, 0) = 0$ を仮定すると、

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{P} \rho^I(\chi, t) = \hat{J}(t) \mathcal{P} \rho^I(\chi, t) \quad (1.103)$$

であり、 H_1^I の2次まで、

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, t) = -i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, t) - i\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t)\int_0^t ds \mathcal{Q}\hat{H}_1^I(s)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, t) \quad (1.104)$$

となる。ここで、

$$\mathcal{P}\hat{H}_1^I(t) = 0 \quad (1.105)$$

を仮定すると、

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, t) = -i\mathcal{P}\int_0^t ds \hat{H}_1^I(t)\hat{H}_1^I(s)\mathcal{P}\rho^I(\chi, t) \quad (1.106)$$

となる。これは (1.80) の Redfield 方程式に対応する。

2 量子マスター方程式の素朴な導出：Coarse-graining approximation

この pdf の以下は、ほとんど [1] からの抜粋である。

We consider system S weakly coupled to several baths. The total Hamiltonian is given by

$$H(\alpha'(t)) = H_S(\alpha_S(t)) + \sum_b [H_b(\alpha'_b(t)) + H_{Sb}(\alpha_{Sb}(t))]. \quad (2.1)$$

$H_S(\alpha_S)$ is the system Hamiltonian and α_S denotes a set of control parameters of the system. $H_b(\alpha'_b)$ is the Hamiltonian of the bath b and α'_b is a set of control parameters. $H_{Sb}(\alpha_{Sb})$ is the coupling Hamiltonian between S and the bath b , and α_{Sb} is a set of control parameters. We suppose that the states of the baths for $b = 1, 2, \dots, n_C$ are the canonical distributions and these for $b = n_C + 1, \dots, n_C + n_{GC}$ are the grand canonical distributions. We denote $\{1, \dots, n_C\}$ and $\{n_C + 1, \dots, n_C + n_{GC}\}$ by \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{G} . We denote the inverse temperature of the bath b by β_b and the chemical potential of the bath $b \in \mathcal{G}$ by μ_b . α''_b denotes β_b for $b \in \mathcal{C}$ and the set of β_b and $\beta_b \mu_b$ for $b \in \mathcal{G}$. We symbolize the set of all control parameters $(\alpha_S, \{\alpha_{Sb}\}_b, \{\alpha'_b\}_b, \{\alpha''_b\}_b)$ by α , $(\alpha_S, \{\alpha_{Sb}\}_b, \{\alpha'_b\}_b)$ by α' , $\{\alpha''_b\}_b$ by α'' , (α'_b, α''_b) by α_b , and $\{\alpha_b\}_b$ by α_B . While α' are dynamical parameters, α'' are thermodynamical parameters. We denote the set of all the linear operators of S by \mathcal{B} .

The modified von Neumann equation is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho^X(t) = -i[H(t), \rho^X(t)]_X. \quad (2.2)$$

Here, $[A, B]_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_X B - B A_{-X}$ and $A_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{i \sum_\mu \chi_{O_\mu} O_\mu / 2} A e^{-i \sum_\mu \chi_{O_\mu} O_\mu / 2}$. We suppose

$$\rho(0) = \rho_S(0) \otimes \rho_B(\alpha_B(0)), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\rho_B(\alpha_B(0)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigotimes_b \rho_b(\alpha_b(0)) \quad (2.4)$$

であり、 $b \in \mathcal{C}$ に対しては、

$$\rho_b(\alpha_b(0)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{-\beta_b(0) H_b(\alpha'_b(0))} / Z_b(\alpha_b(0)), \quad (2.5)$$

$$Z_b(\alpha_b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}_b[e^{-\beta_b H_b(\alpha'_b)}] \quad (2.6)$$

であり、 $b \in \mathcal{G}$ に対しては、

$$\rho_b(\alpha_b(0)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{-\beta_b(0)[H_b(\alpha'_b(0)) - \mu_b(0) N_b]} / \Xi_b(\alpha_b(0)), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\Xi_b(\alpha_b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}_b[e^{-\beta_b[H_b(\alpha'_b) - \mu_b N_b]}] \quad (2.8)$$

である。 Tr_b denotes the trace of the bath b and N_b ($b \in \mathcal{G}$) is the total number operator of the bath b . Then,

$$\rho^X(0) = \rho_S(0) \otimes \sum_{\{\alpha_\nu\}} P_{\{\alpha_\nu\}} \rho_B(\alpha_B(0)) P_{\{\alpha_\nu\}}, \quad (2.9)$$

obeys. We suppose $[H_b, N_b] = 0$. We suppose that O_μ commute with H_b and N_b :

$$[O_\mu, H_b] = 0, [O_\mu, N_b] = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Then, $P_{\{o_\nu\}}$ commutes with $\rho_B(\alpha_B(0))$ and

$$\rho^X(0) = \rho_S(0) \otimes \rho_B(\alpha_B(0)), \quad (2.11)$$

holds.

We defined

$$\rho_S^X(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}_B[\rho^X(t)], \quad (2.12)$$

which provides the generating function

$$Z_\tau(\chi) = \text{Tr}_S[\rho_S^X(t = \tau)]. \quad (2.13)$$

Tr_B denotes the trace over all baths' degrees of freedom. We assume $\rho(t) \approx \rho_S(t) \otimes \rho_B(\alpha_B(t))$ ($0 < t \leq \tau$), where

$$\rho_B(\alpha_B(t)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigotimes_b \rho_b(\alpha_b(t)), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\rho_b(\alpha_b(t)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} e^{-\beta_b(t)H_b(\alpha'_b(t))} / Z_b(\alpha_b(t)) & b \in \mathcal{C} \\ e^{-\beta_b(t)[H_b(\alpha'_b(t)) - \mu_b(t)N_b]} / \Xi_b(\alpha_b(t)) & b \in \mathcal{G} \end{cases}. \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\rho_S(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}_B[\rho(t)]. \quad (2.16)$$

First, we introduce the coarse-graining approximation (CGA). An operator in the interaction picture corresponding to $A(t)$ is defined by

$$A^I(t) = U_0^\dagger(t)A(t)U_0(t), \quad (2.17)$$

with

$$\frac{dU_0(t)}{dt} = -i[H_S(\alpha_S(t)) + \sum_b H_b(\alpha'_b(t))]U_0(t), \quad (2.18)$$

and $U_0(0) = 1$. The system reduced density operator in the interaction picture is given by

$$\rho_S^{I,\chi}(t) = \text{Tr}_B[\rho^{I,\chi}(t)], \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\rho^{I,\chi}(t) = U_0^\dagger(t)\rho^X(t)U_0(t). \quad (2.20)$$

$\rho^{I,\chi}(t)$ is governed by

$$\frac{d\rho^{I,\chi}(t)}{dt} = -i[H_{\text{int}}^I(t), \rho^{I,\chi}(t)]_\chi, \quad (2.21)$$

with

$$H_{\text{int}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_b H_{Sb}. \quad (2.22)$$

Up to the second order perturbation in H_{int} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^{I,\chi}(t + \tau_{\text{CG}}) &= \rho^{I,\chi}(t) \\ &\quad - \int_t^{t+\tau_{\text{CG}}} du \int_t^u ds \text{Tr}_B \{ [H_{\text{int}}^I(u), [H_{\text{int}}^I(s), \rho^{I,\chi}(t) \rho_B(\alpha_B(t))]]_{\chi}]_{\chi} \} \\ &\equiv \rho^{I,\chi}(t) + \tau_{\text{CG}} \hat{L}_{\tau_{\text{CG}}}^{\chi}(t) \rho^{I,\chi}(t),\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

using the large-reservoir approximation

$$\rho^{I,\chi}(t) \approx \rho^{I,\chi}(t) \otimes \rho_B(\alpha_B(t)),\tag{2.24}$$

and supposing

$$\text{Tr}_B [H_{\text{int}}^I(u) \rho_B(\alpha_B(t))] = 0.\tag{2.25}$$

The arbitrary parameter $\tau_{\text{CG}} (> 0)$ is called the coarse-graining time. The CGA [2, 3] is defined by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho^{I,\chi}(t) = \hat{L}_{\tau_{\text{CG}}}^{\chi}(t) \rho^{I,\chi}(t).\tag{2.26}$$

In the Schrödinger picture, (2.26) is described as

$$\frac{d\rho^{\chi}(t)}{dt} = -i[H_S(\alpha_S(t)), \rho^{\chi}(t)] + \sum_b \mathcal{L}_{b,\tau_{\text{CG}}}^{\chi}(\alpha_t) \rho^{\chi}(t).\tag{2.27}$$

At $\chi = 0$, this is the Lindblad type. If $\tau_{\text{CG}} \ll \tau$, the super-operator $\mathcal{L}_{b,\tau_{\text{CG}}}^{\chi}$ is described as a function of the set of control parameters at time t . $\alpha_t = \alpha(t)$ is the value of α at time t . In this thesis, we suppose

$$\tau_{\text{CG}} \ll \tau.\tag{2.28}$$

Moreover, τ_{CG} should be much shorter than the relaxation time of the system, τ_S :

$$\tau_{\text{CG}} \ll \tau_S.\tag{2.29}$$

For the adiabatic modulation, $\tau_S \ll \tau$ should hold, then $\tau_{\text{CG}} \ll \tau_S \ll \tau$ holds.

In general, the FCS-QME is given by

$$\frac{d\rho_S^{\chi}(t)}{dt} = -i[H_S(\alpha_S(t)), \rho_S^{\chi}(t)] + \sum_b \mathcal{L}_b^{\chi}(t) \rho_S^{\chi}(t),\tag{2.30}$$

with the initial condition

$$\rho_S^{\chi}(0) = \rho_S(0).\tag{2.31}$$

$\mathcal{L}_b^{\chi}(t)$ describes the coupling effects between S and the bath b and depends on used approximations. In this thesis, we suppose

$$\mathcal{L}_b^{\chi}(t) = \mathcal{L}_b^{\chi}(\alpha_t).\tag{2.32}$$

The Born-Markov approximation without or within the RWA and the CGA satisfy this equation. Then, the FCS-QME is given by

$$\frac{d\rho_S^{\chi}(t)}{dt} = \hat{K}^{\chi}(\alpha_t) \rho_S^{\chi}(t).\tag{2.33}$$

Here,

$$\hat{K}^\chi(\alpha) \bullet = -i[H_S(\alpha_S), \bullet] + \sum_b \mathcal{L}_b^\chi(\alpha) \bullet, \quad (2.34)$$

is the Liouvillian. Here and in the following, \bullet denotes an arbitrary linear operator of the system.

In general, the interaction Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{Sb}(\alpha_{Sb}) = \sum_\mu s_{b\mu} R_{b,\mu}(\alpha_{Sb}) = \sum_\mu R_{b,\mu}^\dagger(\alpha_{Sb}) s_{b\mu}^\dagger. \quad (2.35)$$

Here, $s_{b\mu}$ is an operator of the system and $R_{b,\mu}(\alpha_{Sb})$ is an operator of the bath b . We suppose

$$\text{Tr}_b[\rho_b(\alpha_b(t)) R_{b,\mu}(\alpha_{Sb}(s))] = 0, \quad (2.36)$$

corresponding to (2.25). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_B \left\{ [H_{\text{int}}^I(u), [H_{\text{int}}^I(s), \rho_S^{I,\chi}(t) \rho_B(\alpha_B(t))]]_\chi \right\} \\ &= \sum_b \sum_{\mu,\nu} \left(s_{b\nu}^{I\dagger}(u) s_{b\mu}^I(s) \rho_S^{I,\chi}(t) \text{Tr}_b [R_{b,\nu,\chi}^{I\dagger}(u) R_{b,\mu,\chi}^I(s) \rho_b(\alpha_b(t))] \right. \\ & \quad - s_{b\mu}^I(s) \rho_S^{I,\chi}(t) s_{b\nu}^{I\dagger}(u) \text{Tr}_b [R_{b,\mu,\chi}^I(s) \rho_b(\alpha_b(t)) R_{b,\nu,-\chi}^{I\dagger}(u)] \\ & \quad - s_{b\nu}^I(u) \rho_S^{I,\chi}(t) s_{b\mu}^{I\dagger}(s) \text{Tr}_b [R_{b,\nu,\chi}^I(u) \rho_b(\alpha_b(t)) R_{b,\mu,-\chi}^{I\dagger}(s)] \\ & \quad \left. + \rho_S^{I,\chi}(t) s_{b\mu}^{I\dagger}(s) s_{b\nu}^I(u) \text{Tr}_b [\rho_b(\alpha_b(t)) R_{b,\mu,-\chi}^{I\dagger}(s) R_{b,\nu,-\chi}^I(u)] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

holds. In the calculation of $\text{Tr}_b [R_{b,\nu,\chi}^{I\dagger}(u) R_{b,\mu,\chi}^I(s) \rho_b(\alpha_b(t))]$, the values of the control parameters can be approximated by α_t . Then, we obtain

$$\text{Tr}_b [R_{b,\nu,\chi}^{I\dagger}(u) R_{b,\mu,\chi}^I(s) \rho_b] \approx \text{Tr}_b [\rho_b R_{b,\nu}^\dagger(u-s) R_{b,\mu}] \equiv C_{b,\nu\mu}(u-s), \quad (2.38)$$

$$\text{Tr}_b [R_{b,\mu,\chi}^I(s) \rho_b R_{b,\nu,-\chi}^{I\dagger}(u)] \approx \text{Tr}_b [\rho_b R_{b,\nu,-2\chi}^\dagger(u-s) R_{b,\mu}] \equiv C_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(u-s), \quad (2.39)$$

$$\text{Tr}_b [R_{b,\nu,\chi}^I(u) \rho_b R_{b,\mu,-\chi}^{I\dagger}(s)] \approx \text{Tr}_b [\rho_b R_{b,\mu,-2\chi}^\dagger(s-u) R_{b,\nu}] = C_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(s-u), \quad (2.40)$$

$$\text{Tr}_b [\rho_b R_{b,\mu,-\chi}^{I\dagger}(s) R_{b,\nu,-\chi}^I(u)] \approx \text{Tr}_b [\rho_b R_{b,\mu}^\dagger(s-u) R_{b,\nu}] = C_{b,\mu\nu}(s-u), \quad (2.41)$$

with

$$R_{b,\nu}^\dagger(v) = e^{iH_b(\alpha_b(t))v} R_{b,\nu}^\dagger(\alpha_{Sb}(t)) e^{-iH_b(\alpha_b(t))v}. \quad (2.42)$$

Here, $\rho_b = \rho_b(\alpha_b(t))$ and $R_{b,\mu} = R_{b,\mu}(\alpha_b(t))$. Then, (2.37) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_B \left\{ [H_{\text{int}}^I(u), [H_{\text{int}}^I(s), \rho_S^{I,\chi}(t) \rho_B(\alpha_B(t))]]_\chi \right\} \\ &= \sum_b \sum_{\mu,\nu} \left(s_{b\nu}^{I\dagger}(u) s_{b\mu}^I(s) \rho_S^{I,\chi}(t) C_{b,\nu\mu}(u-s) - s_{b\mu}^I(s) \rho_S^{I,\chi}(t) s_{b\nu}^{I\dagger}(u) C_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(u-s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - s_{b\nu}^I(u) \rho_S^{I,\chi}(t) s_{b\mu}^{I\dagger}(s) C_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(s-u) + \rho_S^{I,\chi}(t) s_{b\mu}^{I\dagger}(s) s_{b\nu}^I(u) C_{b,\mu\nu}(s-u) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{b,\tau_{\text{CG}}}^\chi(\alpha_t) \bullet \\ &= -\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{CG}}} \int_t^{t+\tau_{\text{CG}}} du \int_t^u ds \sum_{\mu,\nu} \left(s_{b\nu}^{I\dagger}(u,t) s_{b\mu}^I(s,t) \bullet C_{b,\nu\mu}(u-s) \right. \\ & \quad - s_{b\mu}^I(s,t) \bullet s_{b\nu}^{I\dagger}(u,t) C_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(u-s) \\ & \quad \left. - s_{b\nu}^I(u,t) \bullet s_{b\mu}^{I\dagger}(s,t) C_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(s-u) + \bullet s_{b\mu}^{I\dagger}(s,t) s_{b\nu}^I(u,t) C_{b,\mu\nu}(s-u) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

holds. Here,

$$s_{b\mu}^I(s, t) = U_S(t)U_S^\dagger(s)s_{b\mu}U_S(s)U_S^\dagger(t). \quad (2.45)$$

and $U_S(t)$ is the solution of $\frac{dU_S(t)}{dt} = -iH_S(\alpha_S(t))U_S(t)$ for $U_S(0) = 1$. In the calculation of $s_{b\mu}^I(s, t)$, the values of the control parameters can be approximated by α_t . Then, we obtain

$$s_{b\mu}^I(s, t) = \sum_{\omega} e^{-i\omega(s-t)} s_{b\mu}(\omega), \quad (2.46)$$

$$s_{b\nu}^{I\dagger}(u, t) = \sum_{\omega} e^{i\omega(u-t)} [s_{b\nu}(\omega)]^\dagger. \quad (2.47)$$

Here, the eigenoperator $s_{b\mu}(\omega)$ is defined by

$$s_{b\mu}(\omega) = \sum_{n,m,r,s} \delta_{\omega mn, \omega} |E_n, r\rangle \langle E_n, r | s_{b\mu} | E_m, s\rangle \langle E_m, s|, \quad (2.48)$$

with $\omega_{mn} = E_m - E_n$ and

$$H_S |E_n, r\rangle = E_n |E_n, r\rangle. \quad (2.49)$$

r denotes the label of the degeneracy. ω is one of the elements of $\{\omega_{mn} | \langle E_n, r | s_{b\mu} | E_m, s\rangle \neq 0 \stackrel{\exists}{\mu}\}$. $s_{b\mu}(\omega)$ and ω depend on α_S . The eigenoperators satisfy

$$\sum_{\omega} s_{b\mu}(\omega) = s_{b\mu}, \quad (2.50)$$

and

$$[H_S, s_{b\mu}(\omega)] = -\omega s_{b\mu}(\omega). \quad (2.51)$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{b, \tau_{CG}}^\chi(\alpha)^\bullet &= -\frac{1}{\tau_{CG}} \int_t^{t+\tau_{CG}} du \int_t^{t+\tau_{CG}} ds \sum_{\mu, \nu} \sum_{\omega, \omega'} \theta(u-s) \\ &\times \left(\left\{ [s_{b\nu}(\omega')]^\dagger s_{b\mu}(\omega) \bullet C_{b, \nu\mu}(u-s) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - s_{b\mu}(\omega) \bullet [s_{b\nu}(\omega')]^\dagger C_{b, \nu\mu}^\chi(u-s) \right\} e^{-i\omega(s-t)} e^{i\omega'(u-t)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\{ -s_{b\mu}(\omega) \bullet [s_{b\nu}(\omega')]^\dagger C_{b, \nu\mu}^\chi(s-u) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \bullet [s_{b\nu}(\omega')]^\dagger s_{b\mu}(\omega) C_{b, \nu\mu}(s-u) \right\} e^{i\omega'(s-t)} e^{-i\omega(u-t)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

In last two terms, we swapped μ and ν . $\theta(u-s)$ is the step function.

Now, we introduce

$$\Phi_{b, \nu\mu}^\chi(\Omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du C_{b, \nu\mu}^\chi(u) e^{i\Omega u}. \quad (2.53)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du C_{b, \nu\mu}^\chi(u) \theta(u) e^{i\omega u} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega \Phi_{b, \nu\mu}^\chi(\Omega) e^{-i\Omega u} e^{i\omega u} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega \left[\pi \delta(\Omega - \omega) - i \frac{P}{\Omega - \omega} \right] \Phi_{b, \nu\mu}^\chi(\Omega) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b, \nu\mu}^\chi(\omega) - \frac{i}{2} \Psi_{b, \nu\mu}^\chi(\omega) = \Phi_{b, \nu\mu}^{(+)\chi}(\omega), \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

holds. Here, \mathcal{P} denotes the Cauchy principal value and

$$\Psi_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega \frac{\Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(\Omega)}{\Omega - \omega}, \quad (2.55)$$

$$\Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(\pm)\chi}(\Omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(\omega) \mp \frac{i}{2} \Psi_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(\omega). \quad (2.56)$$

(2.54) leads

$$C_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(u-s)\theta(u-s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega \frac{\Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(+)\chi}(\Omega)}{2\pi} e^{-i\Omega(u-s)}. \quad (2.57)$$

Similarly,

$$C_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(s-u)\theta(u-s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega \frac{\Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(-)\chi}(\Omega)}{2\pi} e^{i\Omega(u-s)}, \quad (2.58)$$

holds. Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{b,\tau_{\text{CG}}}^\chi(\alpha) \bullet &= -\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{CG}}} \int_t^{t+\tau_{\text{CG}}} du \int_t^{t+\tau_{\text{CG}}} ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi} \sum_{\mu,\nu} \sum_{\omega,\omega'} \\ &\times \left(\left\{ [s_{b\nu}(\omega')]^\dagger s_{b\mu}(\omega) \bullet \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(+)}(\Omega) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - s_{b\mu}(\omega) \bullet [s_{b\nu}(\omega')]^\dagger \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(+)\chi}(\Omega) \right\} e^{-i\Omega(u-s)} e^{-i\omega(s-t)} e^{i\omega'(u-t)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\{ -s_{b\mu}(\omega) \bullet [s_{b\nu}(\omega')]^\dagger \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(-)\chi}(\Omega) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \bullet [s_{b\nu}(\omega')]^\dagger s_{b\mu}(\omega) \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(-)}(\Omega) \right\} e^{i\Omega(u-s)} e^{i\omega'(s-t)} e^{-i\omega(u-t)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.59)$$

with $\Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(\pm)} = \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(\pm)\chi}|_{\chi=0}$. The integrals for u and s are performed as

$$\int_t^{t+\tau_{\text{CG}}} du e^{-i\Omega u} e^{i\omega'(u-t)} = \tau_{\text{CG}} e^{-i\Omega t - i[\Omega - \omega']\tau_{\text{CG}}/2} \text{sinc}([\Omega - \omega']\tau_{\text{CG}}/2), \quad (2.60)$$

$$\int_t^{t+\tau_{\text{CG}}} ds e^{i\Omega s} e^{-i\omega(s-t)} = \tau_{\text{CG}} e^{i\Omega t + i[\Omega - \omega]\tau_{\text{CG}}/2} \text{sinc}([\Omega - \omega]\tau_{\text{CG}}/2), \quad (2.61)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{b,\tau_{\text{CG}}}^\chi(\alpha) \bullet &= -\sum_{\mu,\nu} \sum_{\omega,\omega'} \frac{e^{-i(\omega-\omega')/\tau_{\text{CG}}}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega \left([s_{b\nu}(\omega')]^\dagger s_{b\mu}(\omega) \bullet \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(+)}(\Omega) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - s_{b\mu}(\omega) \bullet [s_{b\nu}(\omega')]^\dagger \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(+)\chi}(\Omega) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - s_{b\mu}(\omega) \bullet [s_{b\nu}(\omega')]^\dagger \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(-)\chi}(\Omega) + \bullet [s_{b\nu}(\omega')]^\dagger s_{b\mu}(\omega) \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(-)}(\Omega) \right) \\ &\quad \times \tau_{\text{CG}} \text{sinc} \frac{[\Omega - \omega']\tau_{\text{CG}}}{2} \text{sinc} \frac{[\Omega - \omega]\tau_{\text{CG}}}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

holds. Here, $\text{sinc}(x) = \sin x/x$. The above equation can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}_{b,\tau_{\text{CG}}}^\chi(\alpha) \bullet = -i[h_{b,\tau_{\text{CG}}}(\alpha) \bullet] + \Pi_{b,\tau_{\text{CG}}}^\chi(\alpha) \bullet, \quad (2.63)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{b,\tau_{\text{CG}}}^\chi(\alpha) \bullet &= \sum_{\omega,\omega'} \sum_{\mu,\nu} \left[\Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\tau_{\text{CG}}, \omega, \omega') s_{b\nu}(\omega') \bullet [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}(\tau_{\text{CG}}, \omega, \omega') \bullet [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger s_{b\nu}(\omega') \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}(\tau_{\text{CG}}, \omega, \omega') [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger s_{b\nu}(\omega') \bullet \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.64)$$

with

$$h_{b,\tau_{CG}}(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\omega,\omega'} \sum_{\mu,\nu} \Psi_{b,\mu\nu}(\tau_{CG}, \omega, \omega') [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger s_{b\nu}(\omega'). \quad (2.65)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} & X^\chi(\tau_{CG}, \omega, \omega') \\ &= \frac{e^{i(\omega-\omega')\tau_{CG}/2}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega X^\chi(\Omega) \tau_{CG} \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\tau_{CG}(\Omega-\omega)}{2}\right) \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\tau_{CG}(\Omega-\omega')}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.66)$$

with $X = \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}, \Psi_{b,\mu\nu}$. $\Pi_{b,\tau_{CG}} = \Pi_{b,\tau_{CG}}^\chi|_{\chi=0}$ is the Lindblad type. By the way, from

$$[C_{b,\mu\nu}(t)]^* = C_{b,\nu\mu}(-t), \quad (2.67)$$

relations

$$[\Phi_{b,\mu\nu}(\Omega)]^* = \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}(\Omega), \quad (2.68)$$

and $[\Psi_{\mu\nu}(\Omega)]^* = \Psi_{\nu\mu}(\Omega)$ hold. Then,

$$[\Phi_{b,\mu\nu}(\tau_{CG}, \omega, \omega')]^* = \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}(\tau_{CG}, \omega', \omega), \quad (2.69)$$

holds.

3 回転波近似 (RWA)

Born-Markov 近似の量子マスター方程式は、相互作用描像で、

$$\frac{d\rho_S^{I,\chi}(t)}{dt} = - \int_0^\infty ds \operatorname{Tr}_B \left\{ [H_{\text{int}}^I(t), [H_{\text{int}}^I(t-s), \rho_S^{I,\chi}(t) \rho_B(\alpha_B(t))]]_{\chi|\chi} \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

である。注目系のハミルトニアンが時間によらない場合、この右辺を eigenoperator を使って書き、

$$e^{i(\omega-\omega')t} \approx \delta_{\omega,\omega'} \quad (3.2)$$

と近似したものが、相互作用描像での回転波近似 (RWA) である。それをシュレーディンガー描像に移したものが RWA である。注目系のハミルトニアンが時間による場合の RWA とは何だろうか。注目系のハミルトニアンが時間によらない場合には、RWA は CGA で $\tau_{\text{CG}} \rightarrow \infty$ としたものと一致する。よって、注目系のハミルトニアンが時間による場合の RWA を、CGA で $\tau_{\text{CG}} \rightarrow \infty$ ($\tau_{\text{CG}} \cdot \min_{\omega \neq \omega'} |\omega - \omega'| \gg 1$) としたものとして定義する。In this limit,

$$\Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\tau_{\text{CG}}, \omega, \omega') \approx \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\omega) \delta_{\omega,\omega'}, \quad \Psi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\tau_{\text{CG}}, \omega, \omega') \approx \Psi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\omega) \delta_{\omega,\omega'}, \quad (3.3)$$

hold because of the fact that

$$\lim_{\tau_{\text{CG}} \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{\text{CG}} \operatorname{sinc} \frac{\tau_{\text{CG}}(\Omega - \omega)}{2} \operatorname{sinc} \frac{\tau_{\text{CG}}(\Omega - \omega')}{2} = 2\pi \delta_{\omega,\omega'} \delta(\Omega - \omega). \quad (3.4)$$

If H_S is time independent, this RWA is equivalent to usual RWA. $\mathcal{L}_b^\chi(\alpha)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_b^\chi(\alpha) \bullet = \Pi_b^\chi(\alpha) \bullet - i[h_b(\alpha), \bullet], \quad (3.5)$$

where $h_b(\alpha)$ is a Hermitian operator describing the Lamb shift. $H_L(\alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_b h_b(\alpha)$ is called the Lamb shift Hamiltonian. $\Pi_b^\chi(\alpha)$ and $h_b(\alpha)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_b^\chi(\alpha) \bullet &= \sum_\omega \sum_{\mu,\nu} \left[\Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\omega) s_{b\nu}(\omega) \bullet [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\omega) \bullet [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger s_{b\nu}(\omega) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\omega) [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger s_{b\nu}(\omega) \bullet \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$$h_b(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_\omega \sum_{\mu,\nu} \Psi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\omega) [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger s_{b\nu}(\omega). \quad (3.7)$$

Because of (2.51), $h_b(\alpha)$ commutes with $H_S(\alpha_S)$:

$$[h_b(\alpha), H_S(\alpha_S)] = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

4 Born-Markov 近似

We denote \mathcal{L}_b^χ in the Born-Markov approximation by $\mathcal{L}_{b(\text{BM})}^\chi$. From (3.1) and (2.43), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{b(\text{BM})}^\chi \bullet &= - \int_0^\infty ds \sum_{\mu,\nu} \left(s_{b\nu}^\dagger s_{b\mu}^I(t-s, t) \bullet C_{b,\nu\mu}(s) - s_{b\mu}^I(t-s, t) \bullet s_{b\nu}^\dagger C_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - s_{b\nu} \bullet s_{b\mu}^{I\dagger}(t-s, t) C_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(-s) + \bullet s_{b\mu}^{I\dagger}(t-s, t) s_{b\nu} C_{b,\mu\nu}(-s) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

$C_{b,\mu\nu}(s)$ damps exponentially as $e^{-|s|/\tau_b}$ where τ_b is the relaxation time of the bath b . Then, in the calculations of $s_{b\mu}^I(t-s, t)$ and $s_{b\mu}^{I\dagger}(t-s, t)$, the values of the control parameters can be approximated by $\alpha_S(t)$. Then, we obtain

$$s_{b\mu}^I(t-s, t) = \sum_\omega e^{i\omega s} s_{b\mu}(\omega), \quad s_{b\mu}^{I\dagger}(t-s, t) = \sum_\omega e^{-i\omega s} [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger, \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{b(\text{BM})}^\chi \bullet &= - \int_0^\infty ds \sum_{\mu,\nu} \sum_\omega \left(\left\{ s_{b\nu}^\dagger s_{b\mu}(\omega) \bullet C_{b,\nu\mu}(s) - s_{b\mu}(\omega) \bullet s_{b\nu}^\dagger C_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(s) \right\} e^{i\omega s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\{ - s_{b\nu} \bullet [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger C_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(-s) + \bullet [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger s_{b\nu} C_{b,\mu\nu}(-s) \right\} e^{-i\omega s} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty ds C_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(s) e^{i\omega s} &= \int_0^\infty ds \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\Omega \frac{1}{2\pi} \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(\Omega) e^{i(\omega-\Omega)s} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\Omega \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\pi \delta(\Omega - \omega) - i\text{P} \frac{1}{\Omega - \omega} \right] \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^\chi(\Omega) \\ &= \Phi_{b,\nu\mu}^{(+)\chi}(\omega), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty ds C_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(-s) e^{-i\omega s} = \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^{(-)\chi}(\omega), \quad (4.5)$$

hold. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{b(\text{BM})}^\chi \bullet &= - \sum_{\mu,\nu} \sum_\omega \left(s_{b\nu}^\dagger s_{b\mu}(\omega) \bullet \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^{(+)\chi}(\omega) - s_{b\nu}(\omega) \bullet s_{b\mu}^\dagger \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^{(+)\chi}(\omega) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - s_{b\nu} \bullet [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^{(-)\chi}(\omega) + \bullet [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger s_{b\nu} \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^{(-)\chi}(\omega) \right) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{b(\text{BM})}^{\Phi,\chi} \bullet + \mathcal{L}_{b(\text{BM})}^{\Phi,\chi} \bullet. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{b(\text{BM})}^{\Phi,\chi} \bullet &= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu,\nu} \sum_\omega \left(\Phi_{b,\mu\nu}(\omega) s_{b\mu}^\dagger s_{b\nu}(\omega) \bullet - \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\omega) s_{b\nu}(\omega) \bullet s_{b\mu}^\dagger \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\omega) s_{b\nu} \bullet [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger + \Phi_{b,\mu\nu}(\omega) \bullet [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger s_{b\nu} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{b(\text{BM})}^{\Psi,\chi} \bullet &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\mu,\nu} \sum_\omega \frac{1}{2} \left(\Psi_{b,\mu\nu}(\omega) s_{b\mu}^\dagger s_{b\nu}(\omega) \bullet - \Psi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\omega) s_{b\nu}(\omega) \bullet s_{b\mu}^\dagger \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Psi_{b,\mu\nu}^\chi(\omega) s_{b\nu} \bullet [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger - \bullet \Psi_{b,\mu\nu}(\omega) [s_{b\mu}(\omega)]^\dagger s_{b\nu} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

5 具体的な系

In this section, we consider $b = n_C + 1, \dots, n_C + n_{GC}$. Now we suppose

$$H_{Sb}(\alpha_{Sb}) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} B_{b\alpha} + \text{h.c.}, \quad B_{b\alpha} = \sum_{k,\sigma} V_{bk\sigma,\alpha}(\alpha_{Sb}) c_{bk\sigma} \quad (b \in \mathcal{G}), \quad (5.1)$$

where a_{α} and $c_{bk\sigma}$ are single-particle annihilation operators of the system and of the bath b .

5.1 CGA

Using

$$\text{Tr}_b[\rho_b B_{b\alpha}^I(t') B_{b\beta}^I(t'')] = 0 = \text{Tr}_b[\rho_b B_{b\alpha}^{I\dagger}(t') B_{b\beta}^{I\dagger}(t'')], \quad (5.2)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{b,\tau_{CG}}^{\chi}(\alpha) \bullet &= -i[h_{b,\tau_{CG}}(\alpha), \bullet] + \Pi_{b,\tau_{CG}}^{\chi}(\alpha) \bullet, \\ \Pi_{b,\tau_{CG}}^{\chi}(\alpha) \bullet &= \sum_{\omega,\omega'} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \left[\Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{-,\chi}(\tau_{CG}, \omega, \omega') a_{\beta}(\omega') \bullet [a_{\alpha}(\omega)]^{\dagger} \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{-}(\tau_{CG}, \omega, \omega') \bullet [a_{\alpha}(\omega)]^{\dagger} a_{\beta}(\omega') \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{-}(\tau_{CG}, \omega, \omega') [a_{\alpha}(\omega)]^{\dagger} a_{\beta}(\omega') \bullet \\ &\quad + \Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{+,\chi}(\tau_{CG}, \omega, \omega') [a_{\beta}(\omega')]^{\dagger} \bullet a_{\alpha}(\omega) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{+}(\tau_{CG}, \omega, \omega') \bullet a_{\alpha}(\omega) [a_{\beta}(\omega')]^{\dagger} \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{+}(\tau_{CG}, \omega, \omega') a_{\alpha}(\omega) [a_{\beta}(\omega')]^{\dagger} \bullet \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{b,\tau_{CG}}(\alpha) &= \sum_{\omega,\omega'} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \Psi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{-}(\tau_{CG}, \omega, \omega') [a_{\alpha}(\omega)]^{\dagger} a_{\beta}(\omega') \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \Psi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{+}(\tau_{CG}, \omega, \omega') a_{\alpha}(\omega) [a_{\beta}(\omega')]^{\dagger} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

The eigenoperators $a_{\alpha}(\omega)$ are given by

$$a_{\alpha}(\omega) = \sum_{n,m,r,s} \delta_{\omega mn,\omega} |E_n, r\rangle \langle E_n, r| a_{\alpha} |E_m, s\rangle \langle E_m, s|. \quad (5.5)$$

ω is one of the elements of $\{\omega_{mn} | \langle E_n, r| a_{\alpha} |E_m, s\rangle \neq 0 \stackrel{\exists}{\alpha}\}$. $a_{\alpha}(\omega)$ satisfy

$$\sum_{\omega} a_{\alpha}(\omega) = a_{\alpha}, \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$[H_S, a_{\alpha}(\omega)] = -\omega a_{\alpha}(\omega), \quad [N_S, a_{\alpha}(\omega)] = -a_{\alpha}(\omega). \quad (5.7)$$

N_S is total number operator of the system. Here and in the following, we suppose

$$[N_S, H_S] = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

If $n_{\text{GC}} = 0$, existence of N_S and the above equation are not required. In (5.3) and (5.4),

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\pm, \chi}(\tau_{\text{CG}}, \omega, \omega') &= \frac{e^{\pm i(\omega - \omega')\tau_{\text{CG}}/2}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega X^{\pm, \chi}(\Omega) \tau_{\text{CG}} \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\tau_{\text{CG}}(\Omega - \omega)}{2}\right) \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\tau_{\text{CG}}(\Omega - \omega')}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

and $X^{\pm}(\tau_{\text{CG}}, \omega, \omega') = X^{\pm, \chi}(\tau_{\text{CG}}, \omega, \omega')|_{\chi=0}$. Here, $X^{\pm, \chi}(\Omega)$ denotes one of $\Phi_{b, \alpha, \beta}^{\pm, \chi}(\Omega)$, $\Psi_{b, \alpha, \beta}^{\pm, \chi}(\Omega)$, where

$$\Phi_{b, \alpha, \beta}^{-, \chi}(\Omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \text{Tr}_b[\rho_b B_{b\alpha, -2\chi}^I(u) B_{b\beta}^\dagger] e^{i\Omega u}, \quad (5.10)$$

$$\Phi_{b, \alpha, \beta}^{+, \chi}(\Omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \text{Tr}_b[\rho_b B_{b\alpha, -2\chi}^{\dagger I}(u) B_{b\beta}] e^{-i\Omega u}, \quad (5.11)$$

$$\Psi_{b, \alpha, \beta}^{\pm, \chi}(\Omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\text{P}}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega' \frac{\Phi_{b, \alpha, \beta}^{\pm, \chi}(\Omega')}{\Omega' - \Omega}. \quad (5.12)$$

We set $\{O_\mu\} = \{N_b\}_{b \in \mathcal{G}} + \{H_b\}_b$, where

$$N_b = \sum_{k, \sigma} c_{bk\sigma}^\dagger c_{bk\sigma}. \quad (5.13)$$

Whenever H_b is an element of $\{O_\mu\}$, we suppose α'_b are fixed. We introduce the eigenoperator

$$B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b) = \sum_{n, m, r, s} \delta_{\Omega_b, mn, \Omega_b} |E_{b, n}, r\rangle \langle E_{b, n}, r| B_{b\alpha} |E_{b, m}, s\rangle \langle E_{b, m}, s|, \quad (5.14)$$

with $\Omega_{b, mn} = E_{b, m} - E_{b, n}$ and $H_b |E_{b, n}, r\rangle = E_{b, n} |E_{b, n}, r\rangle$. r denotes the label of the degeneracy. Ω_b is one of the elements of $\{\Omega_{b, mn} | \langle E_{b, n}, r| B_{b\alpha} |E_{b, m}, s\rangle \neq 0 \stackrel{\exists}{=} \alpha\}$. The relations

$$\sum_{\Omega_b} B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b) = B_{b\alpha}, \quad (5.15)$$

and

$$[H_b, B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b)] = -\Omega_b B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b), \quad [N_b, B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b)] = -B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b) \quad (5.16)$$

hold. Then, we obtain

$$B_{b\alpha, -2\chi}^I(u) = \sum_{\Omega_b} B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b) e^{-i\Omega_b u + i\chi H_b \Omega_b + i\chi N_b}, \quad (5.17)$$

$$B_{b\alpha, -2\chi}^{\dagger I}(u) = \sum_{\Omega_b} [B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b)]^\dagger e^{i\Omega_b u - i\chi H_b \Omega_b - i\chi N_b}, \quad (5.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{b, \alpha, \beta}^{-, \chi}(\Omega) &= 2\pi \sum_{\Omega_b} \delta(\Omega - \Omega_b) e^{i\chi H_b \Omega_b + i\chi N_b} \text{Tr}_b(\rho_b B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b) B_{b\beta}^\dagger) \\ &= e^{i\chi H_b \Omega + i\chi N_b} 2\pi \sum_{\Omega_b} \delta(\Omega - \Omega_b) \text{Tr}_b(\rho_b B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b) [B_{b\beta}(\Omega_b)]^\dagger), \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{b, \alpha, \beta}^{+, \chi}(\Omega) &= 2\pi \sum_{\Omega_b} \delta(\Omega - \Omega_b) e^{-i\chi H_b \Omega_b - i\chi N_b} \text{Tr}_b(\rho_b [B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b)]^\dagger B_{b\beta}) \\ &= e^{-i\chi H_b \Omega - i\chi N_b} 2\pi \sum_{\Omega_b} \delta(\Omega - \Omega_b) \text{Tr}_b(\rho_b [B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b)]^\dagger B_{b\beta}(\Omega_b)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

Here, we used (5.15) and $\text{Tr}_b(\rho_b B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b)[B_{b\beta}(\Omega'_b)]^\dagger) = 0$ and $\text{Tr}_b(\rho_b [B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b)]^\dagger B_{b\beta}(\Omega'_b)) = 0$ for $\Omega_b \neq \Omega'_b$. Then, we obtain

$$\Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{\pm,\chi}(\Omega) = e^{\mp(i\chi H_b \Omega + i\chi N_b)} \Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^\pm(\Omega), \quad (5.21)$$

with $\Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^\pm(\Omega) = \Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{\pm,\chi}(\Omega)|_{\chi=0}$ and

$$\Psi_{b,\alpha\beta}^-(\Omega) = 2 \sum_{\Omega_b} \text{P} \frac{1}{\Omega_b - \Omega} \text{Tr}_b(\rho_b B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b)[B_{b\beta}(\Omega_b)]^\dagger), \quad (5.22)$$

$$\Psi_{b,\alpha\beta}^+(\Omega) = 2 \sum_{\Omega_b} \text{P} \frac{1}{\Omega_b - \Omega} \text{Tr}_b(\rho_b [B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b)]^\dagger B_{b\beta}(\Omega_b)). \quad (5.23)$$

$\Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^\pm(\Omega)$ satisfy

$$[\Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^\pm(\Omega)]^* = \Phi_{b,\beta\alpha}^\pm(\Omega), \quad (5.24)$$

$$\Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^+(\Omega) = e^{-\beta_b(\Omega - \mu_b)} \Phi_{b,\beta\alpha}^-(\Omega). \quad (5.25)$$

The latter is the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger (KMS) condition. (5.25) is derived from $\rho_b B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b) = e^{\beta_b(\Omega_b - \mu)} B_{b\alpha}(\Omega_b) \rho_b$ (derived from (5.16)) and (5.19) and (5.20).

Here, we suppose the free Hamiltonian of the bath b :

$$H_b(\alpha'_b) = \sum_{k,\sigma} \varepsilon_{bk\sigma}(\alpha'_b) c_{bk\sigma}^\dagger c_{bk\sigma}, \quad (5.26)$$

and $\{O_\mu\} = \{N_{b\sigma}\}_{b\sigma}$ with

$$N_{b\sigma} = \sum_k c_{bk\sigma}^\dagger c_{bk\sigma}. \quad (5.27)$$

In this case, α'_b can depend on time and

$$\Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{-,\chi}(\Omega) = 2\pi \sum_{k,\sigma} V_{bk\sigma,\alpha} V_{bk\sigma,\beta}^* F_b^-(\varepsilon_{bk\sigma}) e^{i\chi_{b\sigma}} \delta(\varepsilon_{bk\sigma} - \Omega), \quad (5.28)$$

$$\Phi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{+,\chi}(\Omega) = 2\pi \sum_{k,\sigma} V_{bk\sigma,\alpha}^* V_{bk\sigma,\beta} F_b^+(\varepsilon_{bk\sigma}) e^{-i\chi_{b\sigma}} \delta(\varepsilon_{bk\sigma} - \Omega), \quad (5.29)$$

$$\Psi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{-,\chi}(\Omega) = 2 \sum_{k,\sigma} V_{bk\sigma,\alpha} V_{bk\sigma,\beta}^* F_b^-(\varepsilon_{bk\sigma}) e^{i\chi_{b\sigma}} \text{P} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{bk\sigma} - \Omega}, \quad (5.30)$$

$$\Psi_{b,\alpha\beta}^{+,\chi}(\Omega) = 2 \sum_{k,\sigma} V_{bk\sigma,\alpha}^* V_{bk\sigma,\beta} F_b^+(\varepsilon_{bk\sigma}) e^{-i\chi_{b\sigma}} \text{P} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{bk\sigma} - \Omega}, \quad (5.31)$$

hold. $\chi_{b\sigma}$ denotes the counting fields for $N_{b\sigma}$. If the baths are fermions, $F_b^+(\varepsilon) = f_b(\varepsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\exp(\beta_b(\varepsilon - \mu_b)) + 1]^{-1}$ and $F_b^-(\varepsilon) = 1 - f_b(\varepsilon)$. If the baths are bosons, $F_b^+(\varepsilon) = n_b(\varepsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\exp(\beta_b(\varepsilon - \mu_b)) - 1]^{-1}$ and $F_b^-(\varepsilon) = 1 + n_b(\varepsilon)$.

5.2 RWA

For (5.1), $\Pi_b^X(\alpha)$ in (3.5) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_b^X(\alpha) \bullet &= \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left[\Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{-, X}(\omega) a_{\beta}(\omega) \bullet [a_{\alpha}(\omega)]^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{-}(\omega) \bullet [a_{\alpha}(\omega)]^{\dagger} a_{\beta}(\omega) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{-}(\omega) [a_{\alpha}(\omega)]^{\dagger} a_{\beta}(\omega) \bullet + \Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{+, X}(\omega) [a_{\beta}(\omega)]^{\dagger} \bullet a_{\alpha}(\omega) \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{+}(\omega) \bullet a_{\alpha}(\omega) [a_{\beta}(\omega)]^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{+}(\omega) a_{\alpha}(\omega) [a_{\beta}(\omega)]^{\dagger} \bullet \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

The Lamb shift is given by

$$h_b(\alpha) = \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Psi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{-}(\omega) [a_{\alpha}(\omega)]^{\dagger} a_{\beta}(\omega) + \frac{1}{2} \Psi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{+}(\omega) a_{\alpha}(\omega) [a_{\beta}(\omega)]^{\dagger} \right). \quad (5.33)$$

The second equation of (5.7) leads

$$[h_b(\alpha), N_S] = 0. \quad (5.34)$$

5.3 Born-Markov 近似

For (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{b(\text{BM})}^{\Phi, X} \bullet &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{\omega} \left(\Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{-}(\omega) a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}(\omega) \bullet - \Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{-, X}(\omega) a_{\beta}(\omega) \bullet a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \right. \\ &\quad - \Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{-, X}(\omega) a_{\beta} \bullet [a_{\alpha}(\omega)]^{\dagger} + \Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{-}(\omega) \bullet [a_{\alpha}(\omega)]^{\dagger} a_{\beta} \\ &\quad + \Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{+}(\omega) a_{\alpha} [a_{\beta}(\omega)]^{\dagger} \bullet - \Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{+, X}(\omega) [a_{\beta}(\omega)]^{\dagger} \bullet a_{\alpha} \\ &\quad \left. - \Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{+, X}(\omega) a_{\beta}^{\dagger} \bullet a_{\alpha}(\omega) + \Phi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{+}(\omega) \bullet a_{\alpha}(\omega) a_{\beta}^{\dagger} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{b(\text{BM})}^{\Psi, X} \bullet &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{\omega} \left(\Psi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{-}(\omega) a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}(\omega) \bullet - \Psi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{-, X}(\omega) a_{\beta}(\omega) \bullet a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \right. \\ &\quad + \Psi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{-, X}(\omega) a_{\beta} \bullet [a_{\alpha}(\omega)]^{\dagger} - \Psi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{-}(\omega) \bullet [a_{\alpha}(\omega)]^{\dagger} a_{\beta} \\ &\quad - \Psi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{+}(\omega) a_{\alpha} [a_{\beta}(\omega)]^{\dagger} \bullet + \Psi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{+, X}(\omega) [a_{\beta}(\omega)]^{\dagger} \bullet a_{\alpha} \\ &\quad \left. - \Psi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{+, X}(\omega) a_{\beta}^{\dagger} \bullet a_{\alpha}(\omega) + \Psi_{b, \alpha \beta}^{+}(\omega) \bullet a_{\alpha}(\omega) a_{\beta}^{\dagger} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

6 特異摂動と量子マスター方程式

これまで射影演算子法や、素朴な摂動論から量子マスター方程式を導出してきたが、これがどうゆう近似で何をやっているのかよく分からない。久木田 [4, 5] によると、回転波近似の量子マスター方程式は、特異摂動論で理解できる。私のノート [6] ではそれについて解説を試みた。

参考文献

- [1] 中嶋慧「博士論文: Theoretical studies on quantum pump and excess entropy production: Quantum master equation approach」, arXiv:1710.05646
- [2] G. Schaller, T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 022106 (2008).
- [3] C. Majenz, T. Albash, H. P. Breuer and D. A. Lidar, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 012103 (2013).
- [4] 久木田真吾「博士論文: 特異摂動理論から見た量子開放系の摂動的手法」(2018)
<https://hdl.handle.net/2237/00027771>
- [5] Shingo Kukita, “Perturbative Dynamics of Open Quantum Systems by Renormalization Group Method”, Phys. Rev. E **96**, 042113 (2017). [arXiv:1705.10522]
- [6] 中嶋慧「量子マスター方程式の導出」
http://physnakajima.html.xdomain.jp/QME_RG.pdf